



CASC ANNUAL SURVEY

2017 RESULTS

REINVIGORATION



LOCAL AND NATIONAL

<20% reported reinvigoration has taken place

HATS OFF TO SSNAP



8 consecutive years rated 'most effective' NCA




National Audit of Psychosis rated 'least effective' NCA

NATIONAL AUDITS

The quality of National Clinical Audits is a frequent talking point and a key element of the reinvigoration agenda. In 2017, 149 respondents rated NCAs via our survey. For the seventh time (6th year) running, 'moderate' was the top response.


HOW NCAs RATE




- Good/Excellent**
32.9% of respondents rated NCAs as good or excellent.
- Moderate**
40.9% of respondents rated NCAs as moderate.
- Poor/Very Poor**
26.2% of respondents rated NCAs as poor or very poor.

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED


COMPARING DATA OVER 7 YEARS



Rated patient involvement in clinical audit as 'good'. Over six years from 2012-17 the highest rating for 'good' was just 6% (2013).



Stated they had 'more' resources for clinical audit then compared to 12 months previously. Over 8 surveys, highest 'more' result was 17% (2012).




Stated that local audits were 'more effective at improving patient care' (as opposed to 19% who voted for national audits).

OTHER KEY FINDINGS


One of the key questions asked every year is 'do you feel more positive or more negative about clinical audit than you did a year ago'? The results appear below. For the first time in 2017 the proportion of negative responses exceeded positive returns.



More +ve



More -ve



Neither



PARTICIPANTS

THANKS TO ALL WHO TOOK PART

- 175 respondents completed the survey
- Data was collected online using SurveyMonkey (December 17)
- 65% identified themselves as 'clinical audit professional'
- 56.7% had worked in clinical audit 1-10 years (43.3% >10 years)
- 61% worked in the acute sector
- Response rates to all questions were extremely high.